

CALIFORNIA LEGAL AFFAIRS August 2018

Prepared by:



Environmental - Land Use - Firearms - Employment Law Civil Litigation - Criminal Defense 562-216-4444 - www.michellawyers.com

This report provides an overview of just some of the efforts being taken to protect the rights of California gun owners. Although litigation plays an extremely important role in the fight for the right to keep and bear arms, there are many other tremendous and equally important endeavors throughout California and across the nation.

Protecting the Second Amendment requires an enormous amount of resources and involvement in all levels of California's government, including all 58 counties, all 482 municipalities, and all state and local agencies tasked with enforcing the myriad of complex and ever-expanding gun laws.

The digital version of this report, complete with links to relevant documents and additional information, can be found on NRA-ILA's California webpage at www.standandfightCalifornia.com and CRPA's webpage at www.crpa.org.

Table of Contents

LITIGATION REPORT	3
LOCAL ADVOCACY PROJECT	7
REGULATORY MATTERS	11
HUNTING REGULATIONS	15
RANGE MATTERS	17

California and 9th Circuit Litigation Matters

Issue	Case Name	Case Status	What's Next
Challenge to California's Ammunition Sales Restrictions	Rhode v. Becerra	The case was filed on April 26, 2018, in response to Proposition 63 and Senate Bill 1235's restrictions regarding the sale and transfer of ammunition in California. The lawsuit challenges these restrictions as a violation of the Second Amendment, Commerce Clause, and Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution, as well as a violation of the Firearm Owner's Protection Act.	Plaintiffs have filed an amended complaint, to which the State has filed a motion to dismiss. If the motion is denied, the case will soon proceed to the discovery phase of litigation.
Challenge to California's "assault weapon" restrictions.	Rupp v. Becerra	The case was filed on April 24, 2017, in response to SB 880 and AB 1135. It challenges California's entire "assault weapon" ban as violating the Second Amendment and due process and takings clauses of the U.S. Constitution. Plaintiffs filed a preliminary injunction motion to prevent the State from requiring individuals to provide the date they acquired their firearms and the name and address of the person from whom they acquired them, as a condition of registration, but that motion was denied on May 9, 2018.	The case is currently in the discovery phase of litigation.
Challenge to California's ban on standard capacity magazines	Duncan v. Becerra	The case was filed in response to SB 1446 and Prop 63. It challenges California's ban on the acquisition and possession of magazines over ten rounds. On June 29, the district court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, halting enforcement of the newly enacted possession ban while the case is litigated. The State immediately appealed the injunction order. In July 2018, the Ninth circuit upheld the issuance of the injunction.	The parties are waiting for a ruling on the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, which was argued in May 2018. If summary judgment is granted, the injunction will become permanent, but the State is likely to appeal.

California and 9th Circuit Litigation Matters

Issue	Case Name	Case Status	What's Next
Challenge to DOJ's recently enacted "assault weapon" registration regulations	Villanueva v. Becerra	The case was filed on September 8, 2017, in response to California DOJ adopting regulations concerning newly classified "assault weapons" under SB 880 and AB 1135. It challenges the regulations under California's Administrative Procedure Act because they were enacted without legislative authority and without any input from members of the public.	On May 30, 2018, the court issued an order upholding the regulations as valid. Plaintiffs will soon be filing an appeal in California's 5th District Court of Appeal.
Challenge to CA and Los Angeles firearm carry restrictions that prohibit both open and concealed carry	Flanagan v. Becerra (Formerly Flanagan v. Harris)	The case was filed on August 17, 2016, as a direct response to <i>Peruta</i> . It seeks to force the court to decide whether it is willing to uphold a complete prohibition on the right of law-abiding citizens to carry a firearm for self-defense. The parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment. In May 2018, the court issued a decision granting Defendant's motion for summary judgment.	Plaintiffs have filed an appeal in the Ninth Circuit.
Challenges DOJ's use of DROS surplus to fund APPS as an illegal tax	Gentry v. Becerra (Formerly Gentry v. Harris)	Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint alleging that the DROS fee is an invalid tax. On August 9, 2017, the district court issued a ruling granting Plaintiffs' request to prohibit DOJ from using DROS fees to fund unrelated law enforcement efforts and requiring DOJ to perform its statutorily required review of the current \$19 fee to determine whether it is "no more than necessary to fund" DOJ's costs for processing DROS transactions.	A final trial in this case is set for August 24, 2018.
Vagueness challenge to (AB 962's "handgun ammunition" sales registration requirement and mail order ban	Parker v. California	In December 2016, because of Prop 63, the California Supreme Court dismissed its review of a Court of Appeal opinion affirming the trial court's order striking down AB 962. The Court of Appeal's decision is now the final opinion, and plaintiffs are seeking their attorneys' fees against the State.	The trial court partially granted plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees for work in the trial court. As a result, the parties are currently negotiating a total fee award.

NRA and CRPA also provide consulting advice and prepare amicus curiae or "friend of the court" briefs in firearm and Second Amendment related cases.

California and 9th Circuit Amicus or Consulting Support

Issue	Case Name	Case Status	What's Next
California Handgun Roster	<u>Pena v.</u> <u>Lindley</u>	The federal district court upheld the Roster in 2015. Plaintiffs appealed, and oral arguments were held on March 16, 2017. NRA and CRPA filed an amicus brief in the case on July 27, 2015.	Awaiting a decision from the Ninth Circuit.
1st Amendment challenge to handgun ad prohibition	Tracy Rifle and Pistol v. Becerra (Formerly Tracy Rifle and Pistol v. Harris)	In July 2015, the district court denied a request to prohibit enforcement while the case proceeds. That decision was appealed, and in February 2016 the 9th Circuit upheld the lower court's order within two weeks of oral arguments. Cross-motions for summary judgment have been filed in the district court.	Awaiting a decision from the District Court on the cross-motions for summary judgment.
FFL zoning ordinance	NSSF v. Pleasant Hill	The case has been settled.	The City has agreed to pay NSSF over \$400,000 in legal fees.
State Court challenge to California's micro- stamping requirements	NSSF v. California	In December 2016, the California Court of Appeal issued a ruling in favor of NSSF, allowing the lawsuit to proceed in the lower court. But in June 2018, the California Supreme Court reversed, upholding the trial court's judgment in favor of the State.	NSSF in July 2018 petitioned the California Supreme Court to rehear the case. A decision on that petition is currently pending.

NRA and CRPA also litigate and assist in critical Second Amendment cases across the country that could set precedent for future challenges to California gun laws.

National Cases with California Interest

Issue	Case Name	Case Status	What's Next
Challenges Maryland's ban on "assault weapons" and 10+ round magazines	<u>Kolbe v.</u> <u>Hogan</u>	On February 21, 2017, an <i>en banc</i> panel of the 4th Circuit issued an opinion upholding Maryland's ban that referred to America's most popular types of rifles as "exceptionally lethal weapons of war." Plaintiffs petitioned the United States Supreme Court for review, but that petition was denied on November 27, 2017.	Although the <i>en banc</i> decision is now final, other lawsuits challenging similar restrictions (such as <i>Rupp</i>) are currently pending and will provide another opportunity for the Supreme Court hear an "assault weapon" lawsuit.
Challenges Washington D.C.'s "good reason" requirement for the issuance of a CCW permit	Grace v. District of Columbia	On July 25, 2017, the D.C. Circuit declared Washington D.C.'s "good reason" requirement for the issuance of a CCW was a violation of the Second Amendment. The Court also issued a permanent injunction prohibiting D.C. from enforcing the requirement. On September 28, the D.C. Circuit denied Washington D.C.'s request for rehearing.	On October 5, 2015, Washington D.C.'s Attorney General decided to not petition the case to the United States Supreme Court. As a result, Washington D.C. is now effectively a "shall-issue" jurisdiction.

LOCAL ADVOCACY PROJECT

The Local Advocacy Project actively monitors all of California's 58 counties and 482 municipalities to support or oppose any proposed ordinance, law, or policy likely to impact Second Amendment rights. Local efforts include developing and working with a network of professionals, citizens, local government officials and law enforcement professionals to effectively oppose local threats to California gun owners. These efforts also serve as the foundation for litigation efforts against municipalities that enact anti-gun-owner legislation.

Jurisdiction and Issue	Description	LOP Response	Status
Huntington Beach Ballot Initiative Banning Semiautomatic Firearms	In December, the City of Huntington Beach issued title and summary for a proposed local ballot initiative that seeks to ban the possession of all semiautomatic firearms within city limits.	NRA and CRPA alerted members to the proposed initiative and are currently monitoring its development.	Proponents of the measure have until June 2018 to obtain the required number of signatures to move forward. The City has filed suit against the ballot proponent. May 2018- The City of Huntington Beach moves to dismiss the lawsuit after defendant agrees not to submit signatures for the initiative.
Santa Clara County Gun Shows	In March 2018, the Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors directed County Counsel to draft an ordinance prohibiting gun shows on county-owned property. In May 2018 the Board proposed an ordinance on the agenda.	NRA and CRPA alerted members to the proposal. County Board of Supervisors is set to consider ordinance at June 5 th meeting. Adopted Ordinance No. NS-624.8-Regulation of Firearms and Ammunition on County Property.	NRA and CRPA are monitoring county agenda.
Healdsburg FFL Zoning Ordinance	In March 2018, the Healdsburg Planning Commission held a public discussion regarding a comprehensive FFL zoning ordinance for the city.	NRA and CRPA alerted members to the proposed zoning ordinance. July 23, 2018 there was no action on agenda. Next meeting August 6, 2018.	NRA and CRPA are monitoring City Council agendas for any updates.
LA City's Ban on Sales of "Ultracompact" Handguns	For years, the Los Angeles Municipal Code prohibited the sale or transfer of "ultracompact firearms," even if those firearms were listed on DOJ's "Roster of Handguns Certified for Sale" in California.	On September 20, 2016, NRA and CRPA attorneys submitted a prelitigation demand letter highlighting how the ordinance was preempted by state law.	As a result of NRA and CRPA's pre-litigation demand, the City Council voted to repeal the ordinance. As of October 15, 2017, the ordinance has been officially repealed.

San Carlos Moratorium on Firearm Retailers	The City of San Carlos recently proposed and adopted a moratorium on firearm retail businesses as a result of several anti-gun residents complaining of a newly proposed Turner's Outdoorsman which was set to open in mid-November. The City continues to hold local meetings regarding the next steps in the zoning process.	NRA and CRPA alerted members to attend the City Council meeting, and submitted a letter of opposition to the proposed moratorium. But the City Council ultimately approved the temporary moratorium on a 4/5ths vote.	NRA and CRPA are monitoring City Council agendas for any updates for further changes to the zoning ordinances for the city.
Moraga Locked Storage and FFL Zoning Ordinance	The Moraga Town Council has listed implementation of a "safe firearm storage" and "home based firearm dealer" ordinance as a proposed goal for 2017.	On November 8, 2017, the Town Council proposed a mandatory locked-storage ordinance. NRA and CRPA alerted members to the proposal, and submitted a letter of opposition. In response, Moraga pulled the proposed ordinance from consideration so it could make necessary revisions.	Although the Town Council ultimately adopted the mandatory locked-storage ordinance on March 14, many of the controversial and illegal provisions were removed following NRA and CRPA's opposition.
El Cerrito CCW Fees	Under El Cerrito's "Master Fee Schedule," residents applying for a CCW must pay a local processing fee of \$961, well in excess of the \$100 statutory maximum for such fees.	Submitted pre-litigation demand letter seeking repeal of the city's excessive application fee.	In November, attorneys for El Cerrito agreed with the NRA and CRPA that the current fee violates the statutory \$100 cap. On the April 17, 2018 meeting agenda the fee was adjusted to \$100.
San Jose Anti- Gun Ordinance Package	In September 2016, San Jose Councilmembers Ash Kalra and Raul Peralez introduced an anti-gun package for discussion and referral to the city council.	On October 17, 2017, the San Jose City Council discussed a proposed mandatory locked-storage ordinance. NRA and CRPA alerted members to the proposal, and submitted a letter of opposition.	The city council voted 6-5 in favor of adoption, but not before serious questions were raised about the precise language of the ordinance as highlighted by NRA and CRPA's opposition letter.

Orange County CCW Applications	Many Orange County residents to this day are still confused as to the specific requirements for obtaining a CCW in Orange County.	Prepared a comprehensive guide discussing the entire application process for obtaining a CCW in Orange County, including what is required to satisfy the Sheriff's "good cause" policy.	NRA and CRPA are working hard to bring "shall-issue" to California. In the meantime, CRPA will continue to provide gun owners with helpful guides on how they can apply for a CCW in their respective county of residence.
Cupertino Anti- Gun Ordinance Package	In January 2017, the Cupertino Public Safety Commission held an open discussion on several proposed anti-gun measures.	Alerted members to attend the Public Safety Commission meeting. Submitted opposition letter informing the Commission of the proposal's serious legal problems not adequately discussed in staff reports.	The Commission ultimately failed to reach a consensus, but the proposal could still come before the Cupertino City Council at any time.
Buenaventura FFL Zoning Ordinance	In March 2017, Buenaventura City Council held an open discussion on a proposed ordinance requiring FFLs to install vehicle impact security devices in the form of "bollards" at all entrances to the store.	Alerted members to attend meeting and voice opposition. Submitted a letter of opposition informing the City of the ordinance's serious legal problems.	The city council discussed NRA and CRPA's opposition prior to making its decision, but ultimately voted 6-1 in favor of the ordinance.
Statewide Public Record Requests	NRA and CRPA regularly seek and obtain public records in connection with any anti-gun efforts in California. Such efforts include proposed antigun ordinances, gun buyback programs, and other anti-gun regulatory enforcement issues.	Responses to these requests often yield valuable results, such as which members of a local government entity are working with anti-gun groups, sources of funding, and other important information.	Ongoing.
City of Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill-recommend to draft ordinances on duty to report theft or lost firearms, safe storage ordinance while in home, prohibit possession of LCM, require permit to conduct retail sales	CRPA and NRA Attorneys submitted a letter in advance of actual ordinance language being introduced to inform city of the problems with the proposal. July 18, 2018 there was no action on agenda. Next meeting is August 22, 2018.	NRA and CRPA are continuing to monitor.

City of Milpitas	Holding a safety summit for the community on June 2 nd as a spin off from the one held by Santa Clara County.	Monitoring. June 19, 2018 meeting received a report on the safety summit which focused on mental health, safety in places of worship, firearms, and safety in the workplace.	Next meeting is August 7, 2018 and August 21, 2018.
City of Arcata	Proposed Mandatory Lock Storage Ordinance fashioned after San Francisco.	Letter sent first week of June prior to next meeting. July 11, 2018 no action on the agenda. August 1, 2018 no action on agenda.	Next meeting in August15, 2018. Continuing to monitor.
Del Mar Gun Show	The Fair Board of The Del Mar Fairgrounds is considering banning gun shows or heavily restricting the activity there.	CRPA and NRA alerted members for the last meeting and many of our member were in full force. CRPA attorneys have drafted letters to the Board to inform them of the strict requirements for all gun shows in California.	Approved summer gun shows. Next meeting of the Board is in September. Continuing to monitor.
Orange County Costa Mesa Gun Show	County Board of Directors is considering whether they will continue to allow gun shows at the fairgrounds.	CRPA and NRA alerted members regarding the meeting. We had many members show up at the last meeting to show support for the gun show. Currently, the Board of Supervisors approved next three gun shows.	Next meeting is August 23, 2018 where they will have a full discussion on gun shows. Continue to monitor.
City of Saratoga	Possible mandatory lock storage ordinance. Video of June 20 th council meeting with comments from supporters and council members <u>here</u> .	CRPA and NRA submitted a letter to the City Council regarding the constitutional concerns with the proposed ordinance. Follow up letters were sent to the city.	Next meeting August 1st and it is on the consent agenda. CRPA asked that this be removed from consent for further discussion.

REGULATORY MATTERS

Regulatory Counsel efforts include the continual monitoring of the California Department of Justice (DOJ) and local law enforcement interpretations of California firearm laws to protect gun owners and firearm dealers against unwarranted and unlawful regulatory and enforcement actions. Regulatory Counsel efforts include drafting regulatory comment letters, drafting member alerts, and providing advice to gun owners. Regulatory Counsel opposes improper actions and incorrect interpretations of California law by state and local agencies.

Issue	Description	Regulatory Counsel Response	Status
CA DOJ's "Bullet-Button Assault Weapon" Regulations July 2017	After several failed attempts, DOJ resubmitted "assault weapon" registration regulations without any substantive changes. OAL ultimately approved those regulations, which are now enforceable.	Alerted members to the proposed regulations, but before NRA and CRPA attorneys could respond, California's Office of Administrative Law approved the regulations. Prior NRA and CRPA comment letters can be viewed here, here, and here.	NRA and CRPA attorneys filed the <i>Villanueva</i> lawsuit, which challenges the regulations as a violation of California's Administrative Procedure Act. That lawsuit is ongoing.
CA DOJ's Rejected Expansion of "Assault Weapon" Definitions	In November 2017, DOJ submitted proposed regulations expanding the "assault weapon" registration definitions to apply in all circumstances, including enforcement of CA law.	Alerted members to the proposed regulation and submitted a comprehensive opposition letter. A representative for NRA and CRPA also attended the public hearing to voice opposition.	Following opposition from NRA and CRPA attorneys, DOJ voluntarily withdrew their proposal. As a result, there are currently no regulations defining terms used in California law to identify "assault weapons."
CA DOJ's "Ammunition Vendor Licensing" Regulations	In July 2017, DOJ submitted its anticipated regulations for the issuance of ammunition vendor licenses.	Alerted members to the proposal and provided members with information on how to submit comments on the proposal. NRA and CRPA also submitted a letter of comment.	These regulations have now been adopted and are currently being enforced. Additional regulations regarding the background check requirements have yet to be introduced.

CA DOJ's Proposed Firearm Safety Device and Handgun Testing Regulations	In January 2018, DOJ proposed several regulations regarding the certification of laboratories for handgun and safety device testing, and additional regulations regarding minimum standards for gun safes.	Submitted a letter of comment during the public hearing in early March 2018.	In July 2018, DOJ notified the public of proposed modifications to the regulations, opening up a second public comment period. DOJ must still issue a Final Statement of Reasons before submitting the proposed regulations to OAL for approval.
CA DOJ's "Ghost Gun" Regulations	In January 2018, DOJ officially proposed its anticipated regulations regarding the serialization of home-built firearms pursuant to AB 857.	Alerted members to the proposal and submitted a letter of comment during the public hearing in late March 2018. NRA and CRPA attorneys also hosted a webinar for gun owners on the new requirements in late June 2018.	The regulations are now final and are currently being enforced.
CA DOJ Proposed Certificate of Eligibility (COE) Regulations	In March 2018, DOJ proposed a comprehensive set of regulatory changes to the initial and renewal application process for COE, which are now required for all employees of California licensed firearm dealers.	Submitted a <u>letter of comment</u> during the public hearing in April 2018.	In July 2018, DOJ notified the public of proposed modifications to the regulations, opening up a second public comment period. DOJ must still issue a Final Statement of Reasons before submitting the proposed regulations to OAL for approval.
CA DOJ Proposed Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) Entry System Regulations	In March 2018, DOJ proposed regulatory changes to the process for transferring a firearm through DOJ's DROS Entry System.	Submitted a <u>letter of comment</u> during the public hearing in May 2018.	Awaiting further action by DOJ, who must still issue a Final Statement of Reasons before submitting the proposed regulations to OAL for approval.

Use of Non- REAL IDs for Firearm Purchases	In January 2018, the California DMV began issuing REAL IDs. But because non-REAL IDs have "FEDERAL LIMITS APPLY" language, ATF and DOJ determined they could not be used to purchase a firearm.	NRA and CRPA attorneys initially alerted members to the issue, and have since worked with ATF, DMV, and CA DOJ on a solution.	In March 2018, ATF officially revised its policy to allow California residents with non-REAL IDs to purchase firearms.
Public Record Requests	NRA and CRPA regularly seek and obtain public records from CA DOJ and local law enforcement relating to internal agency policies and interpretations of CA firearm laws.	Recently, responses to these requests provided key financial analysis reports from CA DOJ and other state agencies regarding proposed firearm legislation. These reports were subsequently used in drafting legislative opposition letters and veto requests to the governor.	Ongoing.
New California Gun Laws	Given California's continually evolving and complex firearm laws, many gun owners, manufacturers, and dealers are often left wondering how best to avoid being prosecuted for otherwise unintentional violations that can result in serious consequences.	NRA and CRPA regularly provide Californians with upto-date information on California laws, regulations, and policies regarding firearms to help gun owners avoid prosecution and retain their right to keep and bear arms.	Ongoing. In connection with these efforts, NRA and CRPA continue to produce webinars on a variety of topics, including the loaning of firearms, the new "assault weapon" laws, the new ammunition sales laws, and the recently adopted "assault weapon" regulations.
Assistance for California Members	NRA and CPRA continually assist members with questions concerning California firearm laws.	NRA and CRPA have a <u>variety</u> of resources available to members, including the ability to refer individuals to attorneys specializing in firearm laws.	Ongoing. In connection with these efforts, NRA and CRPA attorneys provide analysis of parts and configurations of firearms, and they provide lectures and general advice to members of the public and law enforcement regarding changes in California gun laws.

Implementation of Prop 63	Beginning January 1, 2018, courts will require those convicted of firearm prohibiting offenses to turn in/transfer firearms pursuant to Prop 63.	To better inform the courts and members of the public regarding this process, and in an effort to avoid confusion and inadvertent violations, NRA and CRPA prepared and submitted a letter of comment to the Judicial Council of California.	Continuing to monitor the implementation of Prop 63 in the courts and the problems associated with its requirements.
DOJ Website Errors	In January 2018, new laws regarding firearm warning labels that reference DOJ's website took effect. DOJ's website, however, is woefully out-of-date.	In January, NRA and CRPA attorneys submitted a letter to the Attorney General highlighting the many inaccurate legal statements on DOJ's website and the need for correction.	Ongoing.
Assistance to Gun Clubs and Youth Groups	California law substantially regulates and restricts the acquisition, transfer, and loan of firearms. Firearm clubs and youth groups are particularly affected by these restrictions	Currently assisting these groups navigate CA's requirements and advising how best to avoid unintentional violations of CA law.	Ongoing.
California's Firearm Violence Research Center	California granted \$5 million to create the "California Firearm Violence Research Center." The individual chosen to head this center is a known anti-Second Amendment advocate.	Continuing to monitor the center's activities to ensure taxpayer money is not wasted on biased and unsubstantiated research.	Ongoing.

HUNTING REGULATIONS

Issues affecting California hunters require regular monitoring and action before the legislature, the Fish & Game Commission, local municipalities, and various regulatory agencies. These efforts push back against extremist anti-hunting advocacy groups such as Project Coyote and the Humane Society of the United States (as opposed to local humane societies).

Issue	Agency	Recent Action	Status
Coalition Building & Uniting Hunting Groups to Promote and Protect Hunting Rights	N/A	Developed two full days of presentations for California hunting groups laying out a plan for addressing attacks on hunting rights in California.	The groups unanimously agreed to form a coalition and develop a coordinated system to effectively and efficiently oppose and roll back anti-hunting regulations.
Potential Legal Challenges to Regulations Restricting Hunting Right	Fish & Game Commission, Municipalities, Regulatory Bodies	Prepared memoranda on viability of lawsuits challenging regulations; maintain ongoing list of potential legal challenges.	Ongoing efforts to closely monitor current and potential legal challenges to timely and effectively intervene or file litigation as appropriate.
Monitoring anti- hunting forces	Fish & Game Commission, Municipalities, Regulatory Bodies	Ongoing monitoring of lawsuits and activities of potential interest to hunting related matters in California. Regularly submit public records act requests for documents concerning efforts of anti-hunting groups. Analyze Fish & Game Commission meeting agendas and prepare lobbyists for addressing matters of interest at FGC & WRC meetings.	Ongoing efforts to monitor various hunting-related issues to allow for timely and effective intervention as necessary.
Increasing Support for Hunting Rights Throughout California	N/A	Developed and distributed computer presentations for distribution by coalition of hunting groups that outline the attacks hunters are facing. Presented to Council to Advance Hunting and Shooting Sports regarding issues in California to garner support.	Ongoing efforts to grow hunting rights coalitions and spread awareness.

Membership Alerts	N/A	Prepare alerts regarding new hunting rules and regulations and advise of NRA and CRPA efforts to promote and defend hunting rights in California.	Ongoing.
Anti-hunting Regulations	Fish & Game Commission	Submitted petitions to allow archery hunters to carry side arms for self-defense.	The Commission has granted the petition and will be working to repeal the existing regulation prohibiting archery hunters from carrying.
Opposing HSUS Predator Policies	Fish & Game Commission, Municipalities	Ongoing efforts to prepare representatives for attendance at Wildlife Resource Committee hearings on predators. Presented at coyote management symposium regarding negative impacts of HSUS on predator management policies. Drafted manuscript explaining HSUS lies for publication in predator management circles. Grassroots organization to mobilize and direct residents of cities with HSUS coyote policies.	Ongoing efforts to challenge HSUS regarding non-lethal coyote policies in cities where pets and family members are being attacked. Working to broaden coalition of individuals opposed to HSUS policies in light of dangers to typical family households while informing industry members of predator management about opportunities to oppose.

RANGE MATTERS

Ongoing monitoring of legal issues and counsel assistance efforts for environmental, land use, design and safety litigation and representation nationwide involving shooting ranges/areas. Regularly perform range evaluations and meet with Club Board of Directors to assist and coordinate responses to campaigns to close down ranges brought by NIMBYs, environmental NGOs, agencies and/or municipalities.

Issue	Recent Action	Status
Storm-water runoff	Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) has been inspecting shooting ranges and requiring sampling for potential lead contamination in stormwater runoff, utilizing drinking water standards. This has the potential to set inappropriate precedent for regulating ranges for storm-water runoff.	Ongoing efforts to assist ranges and engage the RWQCB to contest the validity of RWQCB's actions.
Non-conforming "Use Status" and "Use Intensification"	Counties are attempting to break shooting ranges' grandfathering as a non-conforming use under county ordinances. Once the grandfathered status of a range is broken through a use intensification argument or a subsequent local law requiring a Conditional Use Permit under the guise of health and safety concerns, compliance with current local laws is effectively impossible.	Ongoing efforts to assist ranges in defending their grandfathered status is crucial in keeping these ranges open and operating.